

GENESEE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD REFERRALS NOTICE OF FINAL ACTION

Ver * at	GCDP Referral ID	T-02-BYR-11-22		
And A YO States	Review Date	11/10/2022		
Municipality	BYRON, T.			
Board Name	PLANNING BOARD/Z	ВА		
Applicant's Name	Griffiths Engineering	(Dollar General)		
Referral Type	Site Plan Review			
Variance(s)	Area Variance(s)			
Description:	Site Plan Review and Area Variance for the construction of a new retail store.			
	Number of Parking Space Maximum allowed: 4 (per Minimum required: 50 (pe Proposed: 31 (per site pla	sec 9.05) r sec 10.02)		
	Number of Patrons			
	Maximum allowed: 6 (per Proposed: 85 (per building	•		
Location	Townline Rd. (NYS R	t. 262), Byron		
Zoning District	Neighborhood Comm	ercial (C-1) District		
PLANNING BOARD F	RECOMMENDS:			

APPROVAL WITH MODIFICATION(S)

EXPLANATION:

The required modification is that he applicant obtains a driveway permit from Genesee County Highway Department. With this required modification, the proposed retail store should pose no significant county-wide or inter-community impact. It is recommended that the applicant submits the enclosed application for 9-1-1 Address Verification to the Genesee County Sheriff's Office to ensure that the address of the proposed store meets Enhanced 9-1-1 standards.

Director

November 10, 2022

Date

If the County Planning Board disapproved the proposal, or recommends modifications, the referring agency shall NOT act contrary to the recommendations except by a vote of a majority plus one of all the members and after the adoption of a resolution setting forth the reasons for such contrary action. Within 30 days after the final action the referring agency shall file a report of final action with the County Planning Board. An action taken form is provided for this purpose and may be obtained from the Genesee County Planning Department.

Send or Deliver to:	DEPARTMENT USE ONLY:
GENESEE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING 3837 West Main Street Road	GCDP Referral # T-02-BYR-11-22
Batavia, NY 14020-9404	
Phone: (585) 815-7901	RECEIVED Genesses County
* GENESEE CO	Dept. of Flaining
PLANNING BOARD	KEFERRAL
RECLARD LAND OFFICE Required Accord	ing to:
GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW ARTICLE	
(Please answer ALL questions	• • •
	NT INFORMATION
	ffiths Engineering
	outh Washington St. Suite 1 Binghamton, NY 13903
City, State, Zip Byron NY 14422 City, State, Z	ip
Phone (585) 548-7123 Ext. 15 Phone (6	07)724-2400 Email cstastny@griffithsengineering.com
MUNICIPALITY: City X Town Village of	Byron
3. <u>TYPE OF REFERRAL:</u> (Check all applicable items)	
Area Variance Zoning Map Change	Subdivision Proposal
Use VarianceZoning Text AmendmentsSpecial Use PermitComprehensive Plan/Upda	te Final
Site Plan Review Other:	
4. LOCATION OF THE REAL PROPERTY PERTAINING TO THIS R	EFERRAL:
A. Full Address Townline Rd., Byron	
B.N earest intersecting road McElver Rd.	
C. Tax Map Parcel Number 51-51, 51-52, 51-53 ^{3 sep}	erate parcels to be combined into one
D.T otal area of the property .938 acres Area of p	roperty to be disturbed less than 1 acre
E.P resent zoning district(s) C-1	
5. REFERRAL CASE INFORMATION:	
A. Has this referral been previously reviewed by the Genesee County	Planning Board?
X NO YES If yes, give date and action taken	
B. Special Use Permit and/or Variances refer to the following section Applicant seeking two area variances. 1 forSect. 9.05 b, i relief from	n(s) of the present zoning ordinance and/or law n parking restriction of 4 vehicles 2- relief from setback of
30ft to 17ft for the building	
C. Please describe the nature of this request zoning requires 32 parki	ng spaces based on building square footage C-1 Limits the
number of vehicles to 4. Applicant does not think this is reasonable and requests reli	In order to meet other requirements a 13ft setback
6. <u>ENCLOSURES</u> – Please enclose copy(s) of all appropriate items in rega	is requested for the building. comer for
☐ Local application ☐ Zoning text/map amendme	
x Site plan x Location map or tax maps	Photos
Subdivision plot plansElevation drawingsxSEQR formsAgricultural data statement	Other:
7. <u>CONTACT INFORMATION</u> of the person representing the community Melissa Ierlan <u>Title</u> CEO/ZEO	
NameTitle	Phone (_585402-0148 Ext.

Address, City, State, Zip	PO Box 9, 7028 Byron Holley Rd. Byron NY 14422	Email	townofbyroncodes@gmail.com
---------------------------	--	-------	----------------------------

APPLICATION FOR LAND SEPARATION TOWN OF BYRON, NEW YORK 14422

OWNER:

Date _____

AUTHORIZED AGENT:

Application # _____

	-SUBMIT AUTHORIZING LETTER-
Name byron fire co., suzanne fuller, suzies byron ent	Name griffiths engineer
Mailing Address	Mailing Address 13 south washington st
	binghamton ny 13903
Phone #	Phone # (607)724-2400
TO BE FILLED IN BY THE APPLICANT:	
1. Tax Map Parcel $\#$ (T.M.P.) <u>51-51, 51-52, 51-5</u>	
and constructing a dollar general store	land separation acquisition of three parcels, merging into one parcel
3. Provide a sketch plan (9 copies) of the propose	d land separation that shall show:
a. The entire tract of land owned by the ow	
b. The proposed division (lot) lines.	
c. Any existing or proposed easements, de	ed restrictions or covenants affecting the tract.
Signature	Date
OFFICE USE ONLY	
<u>PRELIMINARY:</u> 1. Does parcel front on an existing street?	YES 🗙 NO 🗔
2. Does parcel require an extension of municipal	
3. Does parcel comply with all area requirements	
	/A
If no, list non-conformity Parcel will ne	eed 2 area variances, 1 for rear setback and one for additional
parking s	pots
	s, check # Amount
ACTION TAKEN BY PLANNING BOARD:	
Process this application as a SUBDIVISION	
or LAND SEPARATION	Answer the remaining questions.
-Health Department Approval Required? NO	X YES if YES Conventional
-meanin Department Approval Required? NO	Non-Conventional
-Parcel Survey Waived? NO X YES	
110	
Planning Board APPROVAL DISA	APPROVAL
APPROVAL with Modifications	

FINAL AUTHORIZATION:

Planning Board Approval Disapproval [

Date

Signature

Copy Distribution: Planning Board, Z.E.O., Applicant

APPLICATION FOR AREA & USE VARIANCE

Appeal Concerns Property at the following address: AREA-building setback not met per zoning

parking spots allowed are not in line with what is needed

(If property is not owned by the applicant, the applicant must

County Tax Map Section: 5.-1-51 5.-1-52

Zoning District Classification: <u>C-1</u>

Date Applicant Acquired Property: in negotiation

OFFICE USE ONLY

Application No. V-______Zba-2022-017 Date of Appeal: ______(Postmark or Hand Delivered) Date of Receipt by Board: ______ Date of Public Hearing: ______ Date of Final Action: ______ Date of Filing of Decision with the Municipal Clerk: ______

submit a statement by the property owner authorizing the applicant to appeal on his/her behalf.) It has been communicated by all parties that the sale is contingent upon approvals

The applicant's appeal from a decision of the Zoning Enforcement Officer, or on direct appeal from the planning board as permitted by State Law, concerns the following:

_____Denial of an Application for a Building Permit (Attach to Application)

_____Denial of an Application for a Certificate of Occupancy (Attach to Application)

For the Proposed Activity: convenience store in the C-1 district

Denial was made because of a violation or conflict with the Zoning Code(s): section 9.05 b, i

business not to exceed six patrons or four vehicles as a retail trade and or service

Table 1 setback requirement is 15ft and applicant has 8ft.

Date of Zoning Enforcement Officer's Decision: 10/17/22

State what type and size of an area/use variance you are requesting:

requesting relief from the 15ft setback, reduction to 8ft.

requesting amount of parking to be raised in line with the proposed drawing and parking lot spaces

State the reason you are applying for the area/use variance: The sale of the three properties is

contingent upon the 2 variances being granted and approval by the Planning Board.

Describe the character of the neighborhood: The neighborhood is a mixture of residential and commercial.

Applicant: Mailing Address:	Griffiths Engineering	Telephone:607-	-724-2435
Signature:	per phone/email ok	Date:	10/17/22

TOWN VILLAGE CITY OF Byron	Application #_ ²⁰²²⁻⁰¹⁸
(circle one) Agricultural Data Statem	Date
	cation for a special use permit, site plan approval, use g municipal review that would occur on property within 50 ept. of Ag & Markets certified Agricultural District.
Applicant	Owner if Different from Applicant
Name: <u>Griffiths Engineering</u> Address:	Name: byron fire co. suzanne fuller, suzies byron ent Address: rt 262 byron ny 14422
1. Type of Application: Special Use Permit; Site (circle one or more)	
2. Description of proposed project: <u>Acquire and merge 3</u>	parcels and build a dollar general store
 3. Location of project: Address: <u>tbd</u> Tax Map Number (TMP) <u>51-5</u> 4. Is this parcel within an Agricultural District? ☑NO 5. If YES, Agricultural District Number 6. Is this parcel actively farmed? ☑NO 7. List all farm operations within 500 feet of your parc 	☐YES (Check with your local assessor if you do not know)
Name: Legacy Lands LLC Address: P.O. Box 189 Byron, NY 14422 Is this parcel actively farmed?	Name: Address: Is this parcel actively farmed?NOYES
Name: Address:	Name: Address:
Is this parcel actively farmed?NOYES	Is this parcel actively farmed? INO YES
Dan Griffiths Signature of Applicant	Signature of Owner (if other than applicant)
Reviewed by: Signature of Municipal Official	Date
NOTE TO REFERRAL AGENCY: County Plan Agricultural Data Statement must be submitted along	

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 – Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 – Project and Sponsor Information				
Griffiths Engineering				
Name of Action or Project:				
Byron Dollar General Store construction				
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):				
Corner of Rt 262 and McElver Rd.				
Brief Description of Proposed Action:				
Construction of a 9,100 sq. ft retail store and parking lot on .938 acres.				
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telephone: 607-724-2400)		
Griffiths Engineering - Dan Griffiths	E-Mail: dan@griffithsengi	ineering.co	m	
Address:				
13 South Washington St Suite 1				
City/PO:	State:	Zip Cod	e:	
Binghamton	NY	13903	r	
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, loca administrative rule, or regulation?	ll law, ordinance,		NO	YES
If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the e		at	~	
may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to ques				
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any othe If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	er government Agency?		NO	YES
If res, list agency(s) hame and permit of approval.			~	
3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?	.938 acres ess than 1 acres .938 acres		1	
4. Check all land uses that occur on, are adjoining or near the proposed action:				
Urban 🗹 Rural (non-agriculture) 🗌 Industrial 🗹 Commercia	al 🗹 Residential (subur	ban)		
Forest Agriculture Aquatic Other(Spec	cify):			
Parkland				

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?			
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?		~	
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape	?	NO	YES
o. Is the proposed denon consistent with the prodominant character of the oxisting built of hatara handscape			~
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area?		NO	YES
If Yes, identify:		~	
		NO	YES
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		~	
b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action?		~	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the proposed action?		~	
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?		NO	YES
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:			~
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? If No, describe method for providing potable water:		NO	YES
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?			
		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:			~
12. a. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or distri	ct	NO	YES
which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places?	e	~	
b. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?			~
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?		NO	YES
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?			
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:			

Shoreline ☐ Forest Agricultural/grasslands ☐ Early mid-successional □ Wetland □ Urban ☑ Suburban 15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered? NO YES If is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan? NO YES If will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? NO YES If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? NO YES b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If If If Yes, briefly describe: Imposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? NO YES 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? NO YES 11/Yes, describe: Imposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES <th>14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply:</th> <th></th> <th></th>	14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply:		
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered? NO YES Image: Interpret term I	Shoreline Forest Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-successional		
Federal government as threatened or endangered? Image: Complete State Content is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan? Image: Complete State Content is Content in Content is Content in Content is Content is Content in Content in Content is Content in Content in Content is Content in Content in Content in Content in Content is Content in Con	🗌 Wetland 🔄 Urban 🗹 Suburban		
16. Is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan? NO YES Image: the project site located in the 100-year flood plan? NO YES Image: the project site located in the 100-year flood plan? NO YES Image: the project site located in the 100-year flood plan? NO YES Image: the project site located action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? NO YES If Yes, briefly describe: Image: the project discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? Image: the project discharges flow to adjacent properties? Image: the project discharges flow to adjacent properties? Looking to utilize existing storm water catch basin or sewer system Image: the project discharges of the impoundment; Image: the project discharges and size of the impoundment; Image: the project discharge disc		NO	YES
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? NO YES If Yes,	Federal government as threatened or endangered?	>	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? NO YES If Yes,	16. Is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan?	NO	YES
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? Image: Complete in the proposed action create storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? Image: Complete in the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? 18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? NO YES 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? NO YES 17. Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES 17. Strength of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES 17. Strength of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES 17. Strength of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES 17. Strength of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing o			
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? Image: Comparison of the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? 18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? No YES 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? NO YES 20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Date: 114-2022	17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?	NO	YES
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? Image: Construction of the stablished conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: Image: Construction of the stablished conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? Image: Construction drains)? 18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? NO YES 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? NO YES 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? NO YES 20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Date: 11-4-2022	If Yes,		~
If Yes, briefly describe: Image: Construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? NO YES 18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? NO YES 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? NO YES 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? NO YES 20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES 1f Yes, describe: Image:	a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?	~	
18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? NO YES If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES 20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, desc			
18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? NO YES If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose and size of the impoundment: Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES 20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Impound in the purpose action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, desc			
or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment:	Looking to utilize existing storm water catch basin or sewer system		
or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment:	18 Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water	NO	VES
Image: Intermediation of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste NO YES 19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Ima	or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?	NO	1125
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: management facility? Image: management facility? Image: management facility? 20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: management facility? Image: management facility? Image: management facility? 20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: management facility? Image: management facility? Image: management facility? If Yes, describe: Image: management facility? Image: management facility? Image: management facility? If Yes, describe: Image: management facility? Image: management facility? Image: management facility? If Yes, describe: Image: management facility? Image: management facility? Image: management facility? If Yes, describe: Image: management facility? Image: management facility? Image: management facility? If Yes, describe: Image: management facility? Image: management facility? Image: managemen	If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment:		
management facility? If Yes, describe: If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action of the property been the subject of the proposed action of the property been the subject			
management facility? If Yes, describe: If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? Image: Completed of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the proposed action of the property been the subject of the proposed action of the property been the subject	19 Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste	NO	VES
20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Certify that the information provided ABOVE is true and accurate to the BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor/name: Date: 11-4-2022	management facility?	110	1125
20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? NO YES If Yes, describe: Image: Completed in the image: Complete in the	If Yes, describe:		
completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: If Yes, describe: Image: Completed of the second seco			
If Yes, describe: Image: Construction of the second of		NO	YES
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor/name: Dan Griffiths Date: 11-4-2022			
MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor/name: Dan Griffiths Date: 11-4-2022		~	
MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor/name: Dan Griffiths Date: 11-4-2022			
		ST OF	
Signature: Dan Griffiths Title: President	Applicant/sponsor/name: Dan Griffiths Date: 11-4-2022		
	Signature: Dan Griffiths Title: President		



Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation

KATHY HOCHUL Governor ERIK KULLESEID Commissioner

August 31, 2022

Christopher Stastny Project Engineer Griffiths Engineering LLC 13 S Washington St Binghamton, NY 13903

Re: DEC

New Construction/Byron Dollar General/Townline Rd 6327-6333 State Route 262 (Townline Rd), Byron, NY 14422 22PR06301

Dear Christopher Stastny:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP). We have reviewed the project in accordance with the New York State Historic Preservation Act of 1980 (Section 14.09 of the New York Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law). These comments are those of the OPRHP and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include potential environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your project. Such impacts must be considered as part of the environmental review of the project pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York Environmental Conservation Law Article 8) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617).

Based upon this review, it is the opinion of OPRHP that no properties, including archaeological and/or historic resources, listed in or eligible for the New York State and National Registers of Historic Places will be impacted by this project.

If further correspondence is required regarding this project, please be sure to refer to the OPRHP Project Review (PR) number noted above.

Sincerely,

Daniel Mich

R. Daniel Mackay

Deputy Commissioner for Historic Preservation Division for Historic Preservation



October 3, 2022

Capital Growth Buchalter Atkinson Drive, P.O. Box 993 Doylestown, PA 18901

ATT: Kirk Farrelly

RE: Wetlands Delineation & Report Lots 6327/6329/6333 Route 262 Town of Byron, Genesee County, NY

Dear Mr. Farrelly:

On September 30, 2022 a wetland site investigation was completed for the proposed Dollar General site located along Route 262, in Byron in Genesee County NY as requested. This included a soils verification to validate if the soils on-site matched the existing soil maps. The property was walked, and a field investigation completed to determine if there were any areas on site that met either the Army Corp of Engineers (ACOE), or the NYSDEC of regulated wetland areas.

Before conducting the field investigation, the available aerials, soils and the state and federal wetland maps were reviewed for the referenced property. This was done to identify if there are any mapped wetlands on the property as well as any other areas that we should verify whether or not the field conditions match the available mapping. Results of this routine evaluation are to identify any ACOE or NYSDEC jurisdictionally regulated areas. No mapped wetland areas were found in our review.

The field investigation was conducted in accordance to the ACOE 2012 regional supplement as required by the ACOE. This was done by observing plant species, hydrology and soil types and conditions.

The area is mapped in the Genesee County Soils report and the USDA web soil report as CeA— Cazenovia silt loam. These soils are listed as well drained. The soils have been heavy disturbed. None of the soil samples observed showed any signs of being wetland soils.

Vegetation

The dominant vegetation in the area consisted of Maintained lawn and where not mowed black locust and tree of haven. As shown on the photo below. These are consistent with plants that are recognized as upland plant species and their abundance passes the ACOE 50/20 rule thereby defining the area as having upland vegetation.



<u>Soils</u>

Both the Genesee County Soil Survey and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) online web soil survey were reviewed to verify if there were any potential hydric (wetland) soils on property.

As stated prior, the soils were found to be non-hydric and therefore not wetland soils.

<u>Hydrology</u>

As required by the 2012 ACOE regional supplement the hydrology of the property and the potential wetland areas were investigated. No areas within the area reviewed for the proposed project was found to have hydrology that would support wetland hydrology or vegetation.

Conclusions

No wetland areas were found on the area of the proposed project site.



633 Rt. 211 East, Suite 4, Box 4 Middletown, NY 10941 Office: (845) 495-0123 • Fax: (866) 688-0836

Ecological Analysis is grateful for this opportunity to be of service on this project and looks forward to the opportunity to work with you in the future. Feel free to call if you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely yours,

James S. Bates

James Bates, CPESC, CPSWQ Managing Member Ecological Analysis, LLC

Attachments:

USDA Soil Map USFWS wetland Map NYSDEC Wetland Map

Proposed Byron DG Site (NYSDEC Wetland Map)



October 3, 2022

		1:2,257	
0	0.02	0.04	0.08 mi
0	0.03	0.07	0.13 km

NYS ITS GIS Program Office, Westchester County GIS, Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, Source: Esri, Maxar, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community

NYS Department of Environmental Conservation Not a legal document

Proposed Byron DG Site (USFWS Wetland Map)



October 3, 2022

		1:2,257	
0	0.02	0.04	0.08 mi
0	0.03	0.07	0.13 km

NYS ITS GIS Program Office, Westchester County GIS, Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, Source: Esri, Maxar, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community

NYS Department of Environmental Conservation Not a legal document



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources

Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Genesee County, New York

Byron Site



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	
Soil Map	
Soil Map (Byron Site)	
Legend	
Map Unit Legend (Byron Site)	
Map Unit Descriptions (Byron Site)	
Genesee County, New York	
CeA—Cazenovia silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	13
OnB—Ontario loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	14
Soil Information for All Uses	
Suitabilities and Limitations for Use	
Land Classifications	17
Hydric Rating by Map Unit (Byron Site)	
References	

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



Γ

MAP INFORMATION	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed	scale.	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map		Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area A projection that preserves area is ush as the	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as	of the version date(s) listed below.	Soil Survey Area: Genesee County, New York	Survey Area Data: Version 23, Sep 10, 2022	Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales	1:50,000 or larger.	Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Dec 31, 2009—Oct	18, 2016	The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were	compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
MAP LEGEND	Area of Interest (AOI) Spoil Area	Soil Map Unit Polygons Wery Stony Spot	Soil Map Unit Lines Cother Cot			oortat	Closed Depression	Gravel Pit US Routes	🔹 Gravelly Spot	Landfill Local Roads	🗼 Lava Flow Background	👞 Marsh or swamp 🗾 Aerial Photography	Rine or Quarry	Miscellaneous Water	Perennial Water	Rock Outcrop	+ Saline Spot	Sandy Spot	Severely Eroded Spot	Sinkhole	Slide or Slip	Sodic Spot	

Map Unit Legend (Byron Site)

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
CeA	Cazenovia silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0.5	36.6%
OnB	Ontario loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0.8	63.4%
Totals for Area of Interest		1.3	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions (Byron Site)

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Genesee County, New York

CeA—Cazenovia silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: b3xm Elevation: 620 to 920 feet Mean annual precipitation: 31 to 38 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 175 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Cazenovia and similar soils: 75 percent Minor components: 25 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Cazenovia

Setting

Landform: Till plains, reworked lake plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Loamy till that contains limestone with an admixture of reddish lake-laid clays or reddish clay shale

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 12 inches: silt loam
H2 - 12 to 44 inches: silty clay loam
H3 - 44 to 72 inches: gravelly silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 18 to 30 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2w Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D Ecological site: F101XY013NY - Moist Till Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Remsen

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

Ontario

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

Ovid

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Schoharie

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

Burdett

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

OnB—Ontario loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2w3ps Elevation: 250 to 1,490 feet Mean annual precipitation: 31 to 57 inches Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 100 to 190 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Ontario and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Ontario

Setting

Landform: Drumlins, ridges, till plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Calcareous loamy lodgment till derived from limestone, sandstone, and shale

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: loam E - 8 to 14 inches: loam Bt/E - 14 to 21 inches: loam Bt - 21 to 39 inches: gravelly loam C1 - 39 to 48 inches: gravelly loam C2 - 48 to 79 inches: gravelly loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately high (0.00 to 1.42 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 40 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F101XY012NY - Till Upland Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Hilton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drumlins, ridges, till plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave, convex Hydric soil rating: No

Honeoye

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drumlins, ridges, till plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, summit, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Cazenovia

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Reworked lake plains, till plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Appleton

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Drumlins, ridges, till plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Soil Information for All Uses

Suitabilities and Limitations for Use

The Suitabilities and Limitations for Use section includes various soil interpretations displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each interpretation.

Land Classifications

Land Classifications are specified land use and management groupings that are assigned to soil areas because combinations of soil have similar behavior for specified practices. Most are based on soil properties and other factors that directly influence the specific use of the soil. Example classifications include ecological site classification, farmland classification, irrigated and nonirrigated land capability classification, and hydric rating.

Hydric Rating by Map Unit (Byron Site)

This rating indicates the percentage of map units that meets the criteria for hydric soils. Map units are composed of one or more map unit components or soil types, each of which is rated as hydric soil or not hydric. Map units that are made up dominantly of hydric soils may have small areas of minor nonhydric components in the higher positions on the landform, and map units that are made up dominantly of nonhydric soils may have small areas of minor hydric components in the lower positions on the landform. Each map unit is rated based on its respective components and the percentage of each component within the map unit.

The thematic map is color coded based on the composition of hydric components. The five color classes are separated as 100 percent hydric components, 66 to 99 percent hydric components, 33 to 65 percent hydric components, 1 to 32 percent hydric components, and less than one percent hydric components.

In Web Soil Survey, the Summary by Map Unit table that is displayed below the map pane contains a column named 'Rating'. In this column the percentage of each map unit that is classified as hydric is displayed.

Hydric soils are defined by the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS) as soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (Federal Register, 1994). Under natural conditions, these soils are either saturated or inundated long enough during the growing season to support the growth and reproduction of hydrophytic vegetation.

The NTCHS definition identifies general soil properties that are associated with wetness. In order to determine whether a specific soil is a hydric soil or nonhydric soil, however, more specific information, such as information about the depth and duration of the water table, is needed. Thus, criteria that identify those estimated soil properties unique to hydric soils have been established (Federal Register, 2002). These criteria are used to identify map unit components that normally are associated with wetlands. The criteria used are selected estimated soil properties that are described in "Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 1999) and "Keys to Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 1993).

If soils are wet enough for a long enough period of time to be considered hydric, they should exhibit certain properties that can be easily observed in the field. These visible properties are indicators of hydric soils. The indicators used to make onsite determinations of hydric soils are specified in "Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States" (Hurt and Vasilas, 2006).

References:

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18.

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436.

Soil Survey Staff. 2006. Keys to soil taxonomy. 10th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.





Table—Hydric Rating by Map Unit (Byron Site)

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI		
CeA	Cazenovia silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0	0.5	36.6%		
OnB	Ontario loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0	0.8	63.4%		
Totals for Area of Intere	st	1.3	100.0%			

Rating Options—Hydric Rating by Map Unit (Byron Site)

Aggregation Method: Percent Present Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Lower

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf





	DISCLAIMER: Renderings are for graphic purposes only and not intended for actual construction dimensions. For windload requirements, actual dimensions and mounting detail, please refer to engineering specifications and install drawings.									
💭 Everbrite	© 2021 Everbrite, LLC, all rights reserved. This document is confidential and proprietary to Everbrite, LLC. Any disclosure to a third party is expressly prohibited.									
Customer: DOLLAR GENER	RAL	Description: E007754B-4' x 6' DF CP	Customer Approval: Graphics and colors on file will be used unless otherwise specified by customer. Please review drawing carefully. By signing below, you agree to graphics as shown above, and to location of sign as shown. Please return signed copy back to Everbrite							
Project No: 473820	Scale:	PYLON W/ PAN EMBOSSED FACES; 18'								
Date: 08/24/2022	Drawn By: CH	ОАН								
Location & Site No: 615 Nor	rth Baltimore Ave.	Revised:	CUSTOMER SIGNATURE	DATE						
Mount H	Holly Springs, PA DG08813	Revised:	LANDLORD SIGNATURE	DATE						













T-02-BYR-11-22



03/21/2021